

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/577,270	Applicant(s) BERTA ET AL.	
	Examiner Jeffrey Lenihan	Art Unit 1765	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey Lenihan. (3) ____.

(2) William R. Reid. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 01 September 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Amendments to the abstract to reduce the word count into the range of 50 to 150 words as required by MPEP 608.01(b) were discussed. Mr. Reid approved the Examiner's Amendment to the abstract outlined in the attached Corrected Notice of Allowability.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment